



1 without regard to the amount in controversy,” and 28 U.S.C. § 1331, which grants this Court  
2 original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.

3 3. Defendant regularly conducts business in the State of New Jersey therefore  
4 personal jurisdiction is established.

5 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).  
6

7 **PARTIES**

8 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Trenton, New Jersey.

9 6. Plaintiff is a “consumer” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).  
10

11 7. Defendant is a corporation with its principal place of business located at  
12 101 Merritt 7, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Norwalk Connecticut 06851.

13 8. Defendant is a “person” as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

14 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors,  
15 heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.  
16

17 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

18 10. Plaintiff has a cellular telephone number that she has had for more than one  
19 year.

20 11. Plaintiff has only used this number as a cellular telephone number.

21 12. Plaintiff never provided permission to Defendant to call her cellular telephone  
22 number or to contact her regarding any goods or services offered by defendant.

23 13. Beginning in or around May 2015 and continuing through September 2015,  
24 Defendant called Plaintiff on her cellular telephone.  
25

1           14.     When contacting Plaintiff, Defendant uses an automatic telephone dialing system  
2 and automatic and/or prerecorded messages, as well as, live representatives.

3           15.     Plaintiff knew Defendant was using an automatic telephone dialing system as  
4 there was always a delay before she was connected with a live representative.

5           16.     Defendant's telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone were not made for  
6 "emergency purposes."

7           17.     On the occasions in which Plaintiff was able to speak to Defendant's live  
8 representatives, they requested to speak with the person who pays the electricity bills. Each  
9 time Plaintiff informed Defendant that she does not pay the electricity bills.

10          18.     In late May, Plaintiff told Defendant to remove her name from their calling list  
11 and to stop calling her.

12          19.     Defendant's representative acknowledged Plaintiff's request by stating "Ok,  
13 thank you," but the calls continued for several months.

14  
15  
16                   **DEFENDANT VIOLATED**  
17                   **THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT**

18          20.     Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth  
19 at length herein.

20          21.     Defendant initiated multiple automated telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular  
21 telephone using a prerecorded voice.

22          22.     Defendant initiated these automated calls to Plaintiff using an automatic  
23 telephone dialing system.

24          23.     Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were not made for emergency purposes.  
25

1           24. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff, in and after late May 2015, were not made with  
2 Plaintiff's prior express consent.

3           25. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional,  
4 willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the  
5 purpose of harassing Plaintiff.

6           26. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly, unlawfully,  
7 intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal  
8 defense, legal justification or legal excuse.

9           27. As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered the losses  
10 and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of statutory, actual and trebles  
11 damages.  
12

13  
14           WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, LYNNETT LEGGETT, respectfully prays for judgment as  
15 follows:

- 16           a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(a);  
17           b. Statutory damages of \$500.00 per telephone call in violation of the TCPA  
18           pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);  
19           c. Statutory damages of up to \$1,500 for each call in violation of the TCPA,  
20           pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §§ 227(c)(5)(B) and 227(c)(5)(C), which permits the  
21           Court in its discretion to award such damages if it finds that Defendants  
22           willfully or knowingly violated the TCPA; and  
23           d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.  
24  
25

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, LYNNETT LEGGETT, demands a jury trial in this case.

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO L.CIV.R.11.2**

I hereby certify pursuant to Local Civil Rule 11.2 that this matter in controversy is not subject to any other action pending in any court, arbitration or administrative proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 11, 2015

/s/ Amy L. Bennecoff Ginsburg  
Amy L. Bennecoff Ginsburg  
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.  
1930 East Marlton Pike, Suite Q29  
Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08003  
Phone: (800) 668-3247  
Facsimile: (877) 788-2864  
Email: aginsburg@creditlaw.com